Oxytocin variation and brain region-specific gene expression in a domesticated avian species
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Abstract
The Bengalese finch was domesticated more than 250 years ago from the wild white-rumped munia (WRM). Similar to other domesticated species, Bengalese finches show a reduced fear response and have lower corticosterone levels, compared to WRMs. Bengalese finches and munias also have different song types. Since oxytocin (OT) has been found to be involved in stress coping and auditory processing, we tested whether the OT sequence and brain expression pattern and content differ in wild munias and domesticated Bengalese finches. We sequenced the OT from 10 wild munias and 11 Bengalese finches and identified intra-strain variability in both the untranslated and protein-coding regions of the sequence, with all the latter giving rise to synonymous mutations. Several of these changes fall in specific transcription factor-binding sites, and show either a conserved or a relaxed evolutionary trend in the avian lineage, and in vertebrates in general. Although in situ hybridization in
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several hypothalamic nuclei did not reveal significant differences in the number of cells expressing OT between the two strains, real-time quantitative PCR showed a significantly higher OT mRNA expression in the cerebrum of the Bengalese finches relative to munias, but a significantly lower expression in their diencephalon. Our study thus points to a brain region-specific pattern of neurochemical expression in domesticated and wild avian strains, which could be linked to domestication and the behavioral changes associated with it.
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# INTRODUCTION

Domesticated animals have been long known to exhibit similarities, such as: loss of pigmentation; rounded faces; smaller teeth and weaker biting force; floppy ears; shorter muzzles; curly tails; smaller cranial capacity and brain size; pedomorphism; neotenous (juvenile) behavior; reduction of sexual dimorphism (feminization); docility; and reduced aggression and glucocorticoid levels. These changes are collectively referred to as “domestication syndrome.” A theoretical analysis by Wilkins et al. proposed that these common changes may be due to reduced numbers and/or delayed migration of neural crest cells during embryogenesis, that end up forming the cells that make up the aforementioned tissues.

White-rumped munia (WRM; *Lonchura striata*) is a songbird species belonging to Passeriformes, which was imported and brought into captivity from China to Japan around 1762 CE. Munias were initially used to foster exotic birds, which requires calmness under captivity and acceptability of non-kin individuals or species. By artificially selecting against aggressive individuals, Bengalese finches (BF; *Lonchura striata* var. *domestica*) evolved as the domesticated strain of munias; they typically have lower levels of the stress hormone corticosterone (CORT), possibly because parenting in small cages requires stress tolerance. The delayed migration of neural crest cells may cause the overall white appearance of the domesticated BFs relative to the mostly brown plumage of munias that only have a small white patch on the rump. The assumption that the BF is a domesticated strain of the WRM is supported by the fact that F1 hybrids between BFs and WRMs are fertile by the similarity of their mostly innate and sexually dimorphic distance calls, the occasional occurrences of munia-like morphs in BFs, as well as by avicultural records.

One gene that has been proposed to play a role in domestication is oxytocin (OT). It is produced mainly in the supraoptic nucleus (SO) and the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus, from where it is released in the capillaries of the posterior pituitary to then be distributed peripherally, acting as a hormone, or from axon terminals of PVN neurons that innervate many other brain regions containing the OT receptor (OTR), acting as a neurotransmitter/neuromodulator. Traditionally, OT has been studied in reproductive contexts, including uterine contractions and milk secretion, while more recently it has been shown to be involved in a wider array of functions, including social bonding and stress suppression. A potential role in domestication has been based on findings that show different brain OT expression patterns in domesticated versus wild mice and rats; strong purifying selection in the OT gene in domesticated placental mammals; single nucleotide polymorphisms in OT in domesticated camelid populations; and different urinary OT content, as well as OTR brain gene expression patterns in dogs and wolves.

Further, OT has been shown to be involved in several facets of social cognition, like social communication and social avoidance, in mammals, through innervation of PVN OT neurons in the lateral septum and the nucleus accumbens, among others. Although less extensively studied, OT’s role in social behaviors has been also identified in avian species (we use the term OT for birds, as opposed to the commonly used mesotocin, following the proposal for a universal vertebrate nomenclature for OT, its sister gene vasotocin (VT), and their receptors (OTR and VTR), based on vertebrate-wide synteny results). For example, in zebra finches OT has been shown to regulate aggression, affiliation, allopreening, flocking, and partner preference, following the proposal for a universal vertebrate-wide nomenclature for OT, its sister gene vasotocin (VT), and their receptors (OTR and VTR), based on vertebrate-wide synteny results. Moreover, in a recent study, blocking the OTR in juvenile zebra finches significantly reduced behaviors associated with approach and attention during song tutoring, ultimately affecting the song type the juveniles picked to learn. Several of these behaviors, as highlighted above, have been found to differ in BFs and WRMs, including aggression, neophobia, and song learning, with the domesticated BFs learning syntactically and phonologically more complex songs than those of the WRMs.

We thus hypothesized that OT modifications may have played a role in the domestication of the BFs. To test this hypothesis, we genotyped and compared the OT nucleotide sequences from 10 wild munias and 11 Bengalese finches; we compared hypothalamic OT expression via in situ hybridization (ISH); and compared OT mRNA expression levels in the cerebrum and diencephalon via real time quantitative PCR (qPCR). We identified variants in both strains that lie in both the untranslated and protein-coding regions of the sequence, with all the latter giving rise to synonymous mutations. Several of
these variants are located in transcription factor binding sites (TFBS), and show either a conserved or a relaxed evolutionary trend in the avian lineage, and in vertebrates in general. Although ISH in several hypothalamic nuclei did not reveal significant differences, our qPCR analyses showed that OT mRNA expression was significantly higher in the cerebrum, while significantly lower in the diencephalon of the BFs relative to WRMs. The brain region-specific differences in the expression of OT between BFs and WRMs suggest that differences in these central neuropeptide systems may influence the behaviors that pop up with the process of domestication.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

All procedures were conducted in accordance with: the guidelines and regulations approved by the Ethical committee of Azabu University; the Guidelines for Animal Experiments of Azabu University or of the RIKEN Animal Experiments Committee; the RIKEN BSI guidelines or the guidelines approved by the Animal Experimental Committee at the University of Tokyo, all of which are in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Endemic Species Research Institute (ESRI).

2.1 | Animals used for cDNA cloning and ISH

The origin and history of the animals used in this study are listed in Table S1. All animals used in this study were males, since in both strains (BFs and WRMs) only males can produce complex songs, while females are able to produce mainly innate calls. Adult male BFs (BF1-BF5; n = 5) were obtained from the breeding colony at RIKEN-BSI, Japan. These birds were not siblings, half-siblings, or progeny. Adult male WRMs (WRM1 and WRM2; n = 2) were kept for more than 1 year after they had been purchased from pet breeders or bred in our laboratory. All these birds were kept in group housing, under a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00) and were supplied ad libitum with mixed seeds and water. The birds were caught by hand and then decapitated between 9:00 and 12:00 am. The brains of the birds were frozen in optimum cutting temperature, embedded in medium (Sakura Finetek), and frozen on dry ice. Harvested brains were stored at −80°C. Their whole brains were used for ISH. For one WRM (WRM15), the lateral two-thirds of the right hemisphere had already been used for another study; thus, the left hemisphere and the medial one-third of the right hemisphere were used for ISH.

2.2 | Animals used for qPCR and enzyme immunoassay

Thirteen wild male WRMs (WRM5-WRM10, WRM16-WRM22) were captured in Huben (23°43’51”N, 120°37’58”E or 23°43’51”N, 120°37’51”E) or Yuchi village (23°53’31”N, 120°53’31”E), in Yunlin, Taiwan between March 12 and 14, 2019. After capture, they were transported to ESRI, were brought into the aviary and were randomly assigned into cages in one room. The birds were kept for at least 1 week after they had been captured from the wild. Because capturing and transporting the birds would impose more stress on wild-captured WRMs, 1 week of accommodation under laboratory conditions was provided to minimize the stress effects. The birds were kept in group housing and on the natural photoperiod (day length was 12 h 23 min; sunrise and sunset occur at 5:24 and 18:11, respectively) and were supplied ad libitum with mixed seeds and water. They sang actively, indicating that they were not over stressed by this time. In order to reduce stimuli disparities between subjects, the day before they were decapitated, their cages were shaded with cardboard from 18:00. Adding shades (cardboard) to the birds’ cages is a common practice in birdsong experiments to ensure that subjects have been exposed to the same social stimuli before decapitation. Additionally, when WRMs and BFs are kept in the dark, they do not sing. In the period between March 20 and 22, all birds were killed by rapid decapitation between 8:00 and 9:00. They weighed from 9.3 to 12 g (mean ± SD: 10 ± 0.64 g), and observation of their large and mature testes after decapitation suggested they were sexually mature males. The brains of the birds were frozen in optimum cutting temperature and embedded in medium (Sakura Finetek) on dry ice. Harvested brains were stored at −80°C, shipped on dry ice to Azabu University and stored at −80°C until RNA extraction and peptide (WRM16-WRM22; n = 7) extraction. Six male BFs were used for qPCR (BF6-BF11). These birds were obtained from the breeding colony of the University of Tokyo, Japan and were around 2 years old (phd 506–794). The University of Tokyo population is simply a sub-population of RIKEN colony. All six of them were placed in one cage.

Six additional BFs (BF12-BF17) and five WRMs (WRM11-WRM15) were used for ISH. Both BFs and WRMs were born at RIKEN and had been kept together with conspecifics until decapitation. The BFs’ approximate ages were 120–240 pdh. The WRMs’ ages were over 90 pdh. Both BFs and WRMs had reached sexual maturity. All the birds were kept under a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 am) and were supplied ad libitum with mixed seeds and water. The birds were caught by hand and then decapitated between 9:00 to 12:00 am. The brains of the birds were frozen in optimum cutting temperature, embedded in medium (Sakura Finetek), and frozen on dry ice. Harvested brains were stored at −80°C. Their whole brains were used for ISH. For one WRM (WRM15), the lateral two-thirds of the right hemisphere had already been used for another study; thus, the left hemisphere and the medial one-third of the right hemisphere were used for ISH.
They were analyzed by PCR amplification of 3'-RACE fragments for OT consisted of initial denaturation at 98°C for 5 min; followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 98°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C; and a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. The reaction was performed in a 25-μl mixture containing 0.4 mM dNTPs, 0.4 μM each forward and reverse primers, and 2.5 U Ex Taq polymerase with its buffer. The resulting PCR products were subcloned into pGEM-T easy vectors (Promega, Madison, WI). The resultant plasmids were sequenced commercially (Fasmac, Atsugi, Japan). The ORF sequences obtained were submitted to DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank with accession numbers LC489419 and LC489420. We additionally used the genomic sequences of the OT found in the publicly available scaffold-level and chromosome-level BF genome assemblies (LonStrDom1; RefSeq assembly accession: GCF_002197715.1; LonStrDom2, GCF_005870125.1; sequences shared in our Github).

2.4 | Database analysis

A putative signal peptide was predicted by using SignalP 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The chromosomal location and strand orientation of the identified BF genes were determined using the Genome Data Viewer (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/gdv/). The genomic structure of the BF OT was predicted using the BF genome (LonStrDom2). Genomic regions surrounding OT were compared among human, rat, and BF using the Genome Data Viewer to analyze the synteny relationship.

For the TFBS analysis, zPicture (https://zpicture.dcode.org/) and rVista (https://rvista.dcode.org/cgi-bin/rVA.cgi?rID=zpr09032019035446775) were used. zPicture alignments can be automatically submitted to rVista 2.0 to identify conserved TFBS. rVista excludes up to 95% false-positive TFBS predictions, while maintaining a high search sensitivity. In this analysis, we used the OT nucleotide sequences of BF1 and WRM1 (Table S3), as well as the zebra finch (bTaeGut1.4.pr1) and chicken OT sequences (GRCg7b) from their publicly available genomes, generated by the Vertebrate Genomes Project. To test for possible functional effects of the SNPs we identified, we used the Variant effect predictor tool, available in Ensembl.
were also synthesized for control ISH (Figure S2). BF mids were digested with restriction enzymes (ments were inserted into the pGEM-Teasy vectors (Promega). The plas-
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We designed antisense probes to bind to different variants of the
| which is slower evolution than expected, while negative scores mea-
| sure acceleration, which is faster evolution than expected.38 Using
| this measure, we can infer whether some elements are functional,
| based on the rationale used widely in comparative genomics that
| functional genomic elements evolve more slowly than neutral
| sequences.39

We aligned our genotyped OT nucleotide sequences from 11 BFs
| and 10 WRMs using CLUSTAL W (1.81), and visualized via JalView
| (2.11.1.0) (alignment file in our Github; variant calling results in
| Table S4). We also aligned the publicly available BF OT sequence
| (BF 12; LonStrDom2; accession GCF_005870125.1) with the variant
| sites we identified. We also ran a multialignment, using the same
tools, with the OT sequence of the following 29 avian species: BF, Zebra
| finch, Gouldian finch, Small tree finch, Medium ground-finch,
| Dark-eyed junco, White-throated sparrow, Blue tit, Rufous-capped
| babbler, Silver-eye, Flycatcher, Blue-crowned manakin, Eurasian
| sparrowhawk, Ruff, Spoon-billed sandpiper, Pink-footed goose, Swan
| goose, Golden pheasant, Ring-necked pheasant, Chicken, Indian pea-
fowl, Turkey, Japanese quail, Helmeted guineafowl, Great spotted
| kiwi, Little spotted kiwi, Okarito brown kiwi, African ostrich, and
| Chilean tinamou (for the IDs of the genomes used, Gene-IDs and loca-
tions used for the alignment: Table S5; alignment files can be found in
| Github). We translated all the sequences to their respective protein
| sequence to decipher if the variation we identified in the exons gives
| rise to synonymous or non-synonymous mutations using TranslatorX
| (protein sequences and alignments can be found in Github)).40

Further, a Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic amino acid tree was
constructed for OT in all the avian species available in Ensembl
(v.100), using TreeFAM and TreeBeST5 pipeline in the Ensembl “Gene
tree” tool package (https://wwwensembl.org/info genome/compara/
homology method.html).

To test for intraspecies variation of these sites in other avian spe-
cies, we used the dbSNP (release 150) available for chicken (remapped
to GRCh6a), dbSNP (release 139) available for turkey (Turkey_2.01),
and dbSNP (release 148) available for zebra finch (bTaeGut1_v1.p).

2.5 | In situ hybridization

We designed antisense probes to bind to different variants of the OT
mRNA. Antisense probes for the OT mRNA were synthesized from the
BF OT cDNA (Figure S1 and Table S2). Corresponding sense probes
were also synthesized for control ISH (Figure S2). BF OT cDNA frag-
mments were inserted into the pGEM-T easy vectors (Promega). The plas-
mids were digested with restriction enzymes (NcoI or SpeI) to release the
fragment; probes were synthesized using SP6 or T7 RNA polymerase
(Roche Diagnostics) with digoxigenin-labeling mix (Roche Diagnostics).

Frozen brains were cut in 20-μm coronal sections on a cryostat
(Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Every 13th section through
the hypothalamus from each animal was mounted on
3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane-coated slides and stored at –80°C until
use. ISH was performed as described previously,41 except that sec-
tions were postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, proteinase
K treatment was omitted and color development of alkaline phospha-
tase activity was carried out for 1 h with nitro blue tetrazolium chlo-
ride (Roche Diagnostics) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate
(Roche Diagnostics) in detection buffer. Images of sections were cap-
tured with a LeicaMC170 HD camera attached to a Leica DM500
microscope (Leica Microsystems).

2.6 | Analysis of OT mRNA expressing cells

The “analyze particle” module of ImageJ (based on size = 50-infinity
pixel and threshold = 0–150) was used to count the numbers of OT
mRNA-expressing cells in the following regions of interest: the lateral
hypothalamus (LHy) on the left side of the brain; the external sub-
groups of the supraoptic nucleus (SOe) on the left side of the brain;
and PVN at the level of the anterior commissure on the both sides (-
Table S6). This limitation was due to the absence of the lateral two-
thirds of the right brain hemisphere of a WRM. The sum of cell num-ors in each area was calculated.

2.7 | Statistical analyses for OT mRNA expressing cells

Results are shown as means ± standard errors of the mean and coeffi-
cients of variation (Table S6). Statistical analyses were conducted with
Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software, USA), using unpaired Student’s t-tests.
P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

2.8 | Real-time qPCR

We isolated RNA from four different brain regions: cerebrum, dienceph-
alon, midbrain, and cerebellum. Total RNA was extracted using QIAsol
Lysis Reagent and column purified (RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini Kit: Qiagen). We performed reverse transcription using Superscript First-
Strand Synthesis (Invitrogen) with oligo (dT) primer. Primers for OT were designed based on previous stud-
ies.42,43 The control gene was peptidylprolyl isomerase A (PPIA), which
has been evaluated in two songbird species (zebra finch and white-
throated sparrow) as highly stable in the brain.44 qPCR was performed
using Roche LightCycler 96 System with TB Green Premix Ex Taq II
(Takara), in triplicate for each sample on 96-well plate. We calculated
crossing point values (CT) using the Abs Quant/2nd Derivative Max
method using LightCycler 96 SW 1.1 software. CT was used to calculate
ΔΔCT ([CT target gene–CTcontrol gene] – [CT target gene–CTcontrol
gene] calibrator). We picked the average CT of BF samples as a calibrator. Relative expression levels between the species were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCT method45 (Tables S8 and S9) Using the value of the 2−ΔΔCT, we also analyzed our qPCR results in comparison with each specific variant we identified in our multialignments (Table S10).

2.9 | Production of antiserum to the avian OT

The antiserum was raised in a rabbit immunized five times with synthetic polypeptide of the avian OT sequence (CYIQNCPIG-amide) as antigen every 1 week. The specificity of the serum was tested by a dot immunoblot assay, with the OT and its ortholog and paralog (vasotocin) in other species (OT, isotocin, vasotocin, vasopressin), where we confirmed it binds solely to avian and fish OT (also called, isotocin). Aliquots of the orthologous (oxtocin, mesotocin, isotocin) and paralogous (vasotocin and vasopressin) peptides were spotted onto a 0.2-μm polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Immun-Blot PVDF Membrane for Protein Blotting, BIO-RAD). The membrane was air dried at room temperature and was washed for 10 min in 0.05 M Tris buffer (pH 7.6) with 0.1% Tween 20 and 0.15 M NaCl (TBS-T) and incubated for 60 min in blocking solution containing 5% skim milk in TBS-T. After blockage, the membrane was exposed for 120 min to oxytocin antiserum (1:1000 dilution in blocking buffer). After the primary immunoreaction, the membrane was further incubated with anti-rabbit biotinylated IgG secondary antibody (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; 1:200 dilution in blocking buffer) for 120 min and avidin–biotin complex reagent (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA) for 120 min, and subsequently with ImmPACT DAB peroxidase substrate solution (Vector Laboratories) for 5 min at room temperature.

2.10 | OT EIA

The frozen brain tissue blocks of WRM (WRM16-22; n = 7) were dissected as described above immediately before peptide extraction. The frozen brain tissue blocks of WRM and BF were boiled for 8 min and homogenized in 5% acetic acid using a TissueLyser LT (Qiagen) for 6 min at 50 Hz. The homogenate was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and concentrated by a disposable C-18 cartridge (Sep-Pak Vac 1cc; Waters, Milford, MA). The retained material was then eluted with 60% methanol. The pooled eluate was concentrated in a vacuum evaporator, passed through disposable Ultrafree-MC centrifugal filter units (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and dried. The dried material was reconstituted in 220 μL Dilution buffer, and 100 μL of the sample was used for EIA at duplicate.

The samples were subjected to competitive EIA by using the antisera described above. In brief, different concentrations of OT (0.01–100 pmol) and adjusted tissue and plasma extracts were added with the antisera against OT (1:1000 dilution) to each antigen-coated well of a 96-well microplate (F96 maxisorp nunc-immuno plate; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Roskilde, Denmark) and incubated overnight at 4°C. After the reaction with alkaline phosphatase-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma; 1:1000 dilution in dilution buffer), immunoreactive products were obtained in a substrate solution of p-nitrophenyl phosphate (SIGMA FAST™ p-nitrophenyl phosphate tablet set) for 90 min, then, 20 μL 5 N NaOH solution was added to and the absorbance was read at 405 nm with a reference filter of 620 nm by iMark Microplate Reader S/N 20255 (Bio-Rad, USA; Table S11).

2.11 | Statistical analyses for qPCR and EIA

For analysis of the qPCR results, we performed Steel-Dwass test (nonparametric) for multiple comparison using statistical software R (http://www.r-project.org/; R Core Team, 2013; R Foundation for Statistical Computing) to investigate the difference of relative gene expression levels between BF and WRM. And we performed unpaired Student's t-tests using Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software) to examine the effect of the single nucleotide variants we found on OT mRNA expression in the brain of BFs and WRMs. For analysis of the EIA results, statistical analyses were conducted with Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software), using F-tests, and unpaired Student's t-tests with Welch's correction. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Identification of OT cDNA in Bengalese finches and WRMs

We isolated OT transcripts from diencephalic tissues of 11 BFs and 10 WRMs (Figure 1A; Table S3). To confirm that our identified sequence is the BF OT-ortholog, we BLAST searched the full-length cDNA sequence against a reference BF genome assembly (lonStrDom2; accession GCF_005870125.1).47 Only one locus (BLAST hit) in the genome showed high similarity (E-value <5e−56) that was unnamed (ID: LOC110473283), located on chromosome 4 (68,957,139–68,958,557).

3.2 | Synteny and phylogenetic analyses confirm our identified gene in BFs as the OT-ortholog

To further confirm our identified gene in the BF was the true OT-ortholog, we ran synteny analysis on the surrounding territory of the identified gene in BF (LOC110473283). The analysis revealed that this gene is located in a genomic region that is highly conserved in tetrapod vertebrates, exactly where the OT is found in those species (Figure 1B). Conserved syntenic genes in the surrounding territory include mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type A (PTPRA), mitochondrial ribosomal Protein S26 (MRP26), arginine vasopressin/vasotocin (AVP/VT), FAST kinase domains 5 (FASTKD5), U-box domain containing 5 (UBOX5), and leucine zipper tumor suppressor family member 3 (LZTS3; Figure 1B). Interestingly, in the BF genome (lonStrDom2), AVP/VT was
FIGURE 1  Nucleotide and amino acid sequences of white-rumped munia and Bengalese finch oxytocin (OT) precursor cDNA. (A) Alignment of WRM and BF OT nucleotide sequences. Color-marked nucleotides: sites that show variation in either the BF or the WRM sequenced in this study; alternative nucleotides are shown marked up with the same color in close proximity. Predicted protein sequence is shown below the nucleotide sequence alignment in bold. Sequences of putative TFBS in the territory of the variant sites have been underlined with different colors; each color corresponds to the color of the respective transcription factor. ETF: TEA domain family member 2; HIC1: HIC ZBTB transcriptional repressor 1; E2F: E2F transcription factor; NERF: Ets-related factor; AP2: activating enhancer binding protein 2; AP4: activating enhancer binding protein 4; AP2GAMMA: activating enhancer binding protein 2 gamma; SP3: Sp3 transcription factor; PAX6: Paired Box 6; CHX10: C. elegans ceh-10 homeo domain-containing homolog; LHX3: LIM homeobox 3. (B) Conserved gene synteny among the human, rat, and Bengalese finch OT loci. Genes are indicated by shaded boxes. OT genes are linked by dotted lines. In rodent genomes, the gonadotropin-releasing hormone 2 gene (GnRH2) is inactivated or deleted.46 (C) Schematic representation of the Bengalese finch OT structure. Exons are boxed and numbered, and introns appear as straight black lines. Shaded and open boxes denote coding and noncoding sequences, respectively. BF, Bengalese finch; WRM, white-rumped munia.
also unnamed (Gene ID: LOC110473284). Based on our synteny analysis, and on further synteny and phylogenetic results presented in Theofanopoulou et al.,48 we propose that LOC110473283 should be named oxytocin (OT) and LOC110473284 should be named vasotocin (VT) in the BF. Our phylogenetic tree using the BF putative OT in LonStrDom1 as a query sequence (ENSLSDG00000001590; unnamed) grouped this sequence with the OT-ortholog in all vertebrates (Figure S3 See Gene Tree ID: ENSGT00390000004511 for a capture of the tree only in avian species). These phylogenetic results corroborate with our synteny results in that the gene we identified in the BF is the true OT-ortholog.

Using the OT sequence from lonStrDom2 (chr4: 68,957,139-68,958,557) and our identified full-length cDNA sequence of BF OT (Figure 1A; Figure S1), we further predicted the OT genomic structure (Figure 1C). The BF OT consists of three exons, spanning 1419 base pairs. Exon 1 contains the 5'-UTR, signal peptide, OT, nonapeptide (CYIQNCPIG), and a portion of the neurophysin peptide sequence. Exon 2 and the first 48 base pairs of exon 3 contain the remaining sequence of the neurophysin peptide; the remainder of exon 3 contains the 3'-UTR (Figure 1C).

3.3 Variant calling in the BF and WRM OT cDNA and comparison with 28 avian species

We aligned our genotyped OT sequences from 11 BFs and 10 WRMs using CLUSTAL W (1.81; Figure 1A; alignment file in our Github; variant calling results in Table S4). We also aligned the publicly available BF OT sequence (BF12; LonStrDom2; accession GCF_005870125.1) with the variant sites we identified in our multialignment. In Figure 1A, for an easier visualization of our results, we show the alignment of two sequences that represent for each strain (WRM and BF) the alleles found in most individuals, and we note the alternative alleles next to the variant sites. We translated all the sequences to their respective protein sequence to decipher if the variation we identified in the exons gives rise to synonymous or non-synonymous mutations. Lastly, to determine if the changes we observed were specific to these strains or shared with other avian species, we aligned their sequences with OT sequences from 28 avian species (Figure 2; Figure S3 and Table S5; alignment files in Github).

Several of the OT sequences we identified in WRMs and BFs were identical in individuals within and across strains (WRM2, WRM4, WRM6, BF6, BF9, BF10, BF11; Table S4). Variation was found in positions 1–2 (5'-UTR) of the alignment, with only 1 WRM (WRM1; 10% of the WRM sample) having CG, and the rest of the WRMs (90%) showing a deletion on this site; CG was present in five individuals (38% of the BF sample), and the rest of the BFs (62%) also had this site deleted. Interestingly, CG appeared to be the ancestral state of this site (Figure 2), with no other bird showing a similar deletion in our alignment, although the first site (C present in 66% of the birds; Figure 2) was more variable than the second (G present at a 97%; Figure 2). In positions 6–8 (5'-UTR), all WRMs, except WRM1, had GCC, while all three nucleotides were deleted in WRM1; this deletion was WRM-specific, with the BFs showing only variation on the third...
site in position 8, which was C/T, with C present in the 62% of our BF sample (Figure 2). GCC was the status shared by most avian species (93%, 100%, and 76%, for each site, respectively; Figure 2). To sum up, both these 5′-UTR sites were variant in both WRMs and BFs.

We identified three exonic sites (positions 62, 101, and 149) that were variant in BFs but invariant in WRMs. On the 62nd locus of the alignment (Figure 1A), all WRMs and BFs had C, except the BF4 that had T (Table S4); this site is variable in the rest of the avian species, with 55% of them having C, and the rest A, T, or G. A similar status was identified in the 101st position, where all WRMs and BFs had C, except two BFs (BF4 and BF12; Table S4) that had T, while this site was found variable in other birds (C in the 52%; Figure 2). In position 149, all WRMs and BFs had C, except for BF2 and BF7 that had G on that site, which represents the plausible ancestral state, since 93% of the avian species had G in our alignment, and C was found to be specific to the WRMs and BFs. We lastly found one exonic site (position 239) that was, in turn, variant in WRMs (G/A; G present in 80% of the WRM sample; Table S4) and invariant in BFs (G), with the majority of the avian species (83%) sharing the same allele (G; Figure 2). All of the exonic mutations identified give rise to synonymous mutations.

We found three sites in the 3′-UTR (positions 389, 400, and 468) where WRMs were variant and BFs invariant, and one site (position 432) that was, instead, variant in BFs and invariant in WRMs (Figure 2; Table S4). In position 389, only one individual (WRM 9) had A (10%), while the rest had G, as was the case for the vast majority of the avian species (97%). WRMs also showed variability (position 400: G/A; G: 80%; A: 20%) on a less conserved site across birds, where 66% of them had G. The WRM-variant site in position 468 (G/C; G: 60%; C: 40%) was, in turn, robustly conserved in the rest of the avian species (G: 93%; Figure 2). The 3′-UTR variant site in BFs (position 432: C/T; C: 62%; T: 38%) is variable across birds, with only 41% having C, and only three species, other than the BF, having T on that site.

Among the avian species we used in our alignment, some of them come from domesticated species (chicken, zebra finch, turkey, Japanese quail, and swan goose), but we were not able to find any strong pattern of convergent evolution among them. For example, although all the domesticated species in our multialignment, including the BF, have G on position 239, this G is present in 83% of the avian species, thus it cannot be considered evidence of convergent evolution.

3.4 Conserved/accelerated status of the variant sites in vertebrates

Further, we used PhyloP (phyloP77way) to measure the evolutionary conservation at the variant sites we identified, by aligning 77 vertebrate species’ genomes. The sites in positions 1–2, 6–8, 62, 101, 149, and 239 gave positive scores (Suppl. File S1), which measure conservation, namely slower evolution than expected\(^2\); the sites in positions 389, 400, 432, and 468 gave negative scores, which measure acceleration, namely faster evolution than expected.\(^3\) Using this measure, we can infer whether some elements are functional, based on the rationale used widely in comparative genomics that functional genomic elements evolve more slowly than neutral sequences.\(^3\)

Interestingly, the conservation status of some of these sites is different on a vertebrate-wide scale than when zoomed in an avian-specific scale. For example, the sites on positions 62 and 101 are conserved across vertebrates (Suppl. File S1), but appear to be variable in our avian multialignment (Figure 2). These same sites are variant only in BFs and not in WRMs, along with the sites on positions 8, 149, and 432, which are, in turn, conserved in both a vertebrate-wide and an avian-specific scale. These data suggest that these sites, where we found variation in BFs only, are likely to be functional and are bound to shed light on their domestication process. Especially, T in position 8, present in 38% of the BFs (Table S4) is conserved across vertebrates, and at the same time is a rare allele in our avian multialignment (present in 17% of the species; Figure 2); the same holds for T in position 432, which is also present in 38% of the BFs, is conserved in vertebrates, but it is found rarely in birds (10%).

3.5 Transcription factor-binding, functional, and variation analyses

Our analysis using the zPicture and rVista softwares revealed that several of the variant sites are located in putative TFBS (Figure 1A). The site on position 62 is located close to the end of a putative binding site of the ETF (TEA domain family member 2) transcription factor, while the variant in position 101 is found in the territory of both the binding sites of the HIC1 (HIC ZBTB transcriptional repressor 1) and E2(F) transcription factors. We found both of the latter TFBS being conserved in the zebra finch. The site in position 239 was found to fall within the NERP (Ets-related factor) binding site, which was also conserved in the chicken. The two last 3′-UTR variants are located within the binding sites of several transcription factors. The site in position 432 is found in the binding sites of the following transcription factors: AP2 (activating enhancer binding protein 2), AP4 (activating enhancer binding protein 4), AP2GAMMA (activating enhancer binding protein 2 gamma), and SP3 (Sp3 transcription factor); the SP3 TFBS was also conserved in the zebra finch. Lastly, the site in position 468 is located in the PAX6 (Paired Box 6), LHX3 (LIM homeobox 3), and CHX10 (C. elegans ceh-10 homeo domain-containing homolog) TFBS, with the latter being conserved in the zebra finch.

We then used variant effect predictor tests Ensembl (v. 100) to further predict the functionality of our identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the BFs and the WRMs, applied to the respective sites in both the BF (LonStrDom1) and the chicken genomes (GRCg6a), but the tests did not yield any known functional effect. We also searched for possible intraspecies variation of these sites in other avian species, using the dbSNP (release 150) available for the chicken (GRCg6a), dbSNP (release 139) available for the turkey (Turkey_2.01), and dbSNP (release 148) available for the zebra finch (bTaGeu1_v1.2), but we did not find any variation in the sites studied within any of these species.
3.6 | Changes in OT mRNA expression in the cerebrum and diencephalon of BFs relative to WRMs

Differential OT gene expression in several diencephalic nuclei has been associated with social behavioral differences in several species. Since OT is expressed primarily in the hypothalamus, we performed ISH for OT mRNA in BF and WRM brain sections containing several hypothalamic nuclei. BFs and WRMs had similar distributions of OT mRNA-expressing cells in the hypothalamus (Figure 3; Figure S2 for ISH with a sense and antisense OT probe), and we did not detect any significant differences in the numbers of cells expressing OT mRNA (Figure 4A–C; Table S6) in any of the nuclei tested between the two strains by ISH. These nuclei included the SOe, LHy, and PVN (Figure 3A–F). We found that the PVN contained a higher average number of OT cells in both strains (48.0 in BF; 46.7 in the WRM), compared to the SOe (7.4 in BF; 11.3 in the WRM) and the LHy (7.0 in BF; 7.6 in the WRM; Table S6).

To compare mRNA expression levels, we performed qPCR to measure relative OT mRNA expression in the BF and WRM diencephalon (which contains the hypothalamus) and cerebrum. We found that OT mRNA expression in the diencephalon and cerebrum of the BFs was significantly different to that of the WRMs (Steel-Dwass test, diencephalon: \( p = 0.016 \), cerebrum: \( p = 0.029 \), Figure 5; Tables S7 and S8). Specifically, we observed that OT mRNA expression was significantly higher in the cerebrum, but significantly lower in the diencephalon of the BFs relative to WRMs. We also conducted qPCR to quantify the levels of OTR mRNA in the cerebrum, diencephalon, midbrain and cerebellum, but we did not detect any significant differences (Steel-Dwass test, \( p > 0.05 \), Table S9; Figure 6).

We then examined if there was any effect of the single nucleotide variants we found on OT mRNA expression in the brain of BFs and WRMs, but we were not able to find any, likely due to the low number of individuals showing the alternative (i.e., less common) variants. For

---

**FIGURE 3** Photomicrographs of oxytocin (OT) mRNA-expressing cells in brains of Bengalese finches and white-rumped munias. (A) and (B) SOe, external subgroups of the supraoptic nucleus. (C) and (D) LHy, lateral hypothalamic areas. (E) and (F) PVN, paraventricular nucleus; TSM, septopalliomesencephalic tract. Scale bars = 200 μm

**FIGURE 4** Comparisons between the number of cells expressing OT in hypothalamic nuclei in the Bengalese finches and white-rumped munias. (A) External subgroups of the supraoptic nucleus (SOe). (B) Lateral hypothalamus (LHy). (C) Paraventricular nucleus (PVN). Numbers in bars denote numbers of birds analyzed. Data are mean values ± standard errors.

**FIGURE 5** Cerebral and diencephalic oxytocin expression in Bengalese finches and white-rumped munias. Each dot indicates the average of individual values (BF: \( n = 6 \), WRM: \( n = 6 \)). Horizontal lines in the middle of the boxes indicate median. Whiskers are drawn outside 1.5 times the interquartile range above the upper quartile and below the lower quartile. * \( p < 0.05 \)
example, Figure S4 shows the relative expression of OT mRNA in the cerebrum and the diencephalon relative to C or G at the 468th position, with no significant differences between the groups.

We, lastly, generated a specific antiserum against the avian OT to quantify OT mature peptide levels in both strains. Our OT antiserum bound to the avian and fish OT peptide (Figure 7A), but did not cross-react with either the mammalian OT or with VT of any species. We then compared brain OT peptide levels using EIA (Figure 7B; Table S11) in both strains. Although we did not detect significant differences between strains \( (t = 1.630, df = 6.041, p = 0.1539) \), we found a significantly higher intra-strain variability in the WRMs compared to the BFs \( (F = 293.1, Df = 6. Dfd = 6, p < 0.0001) \). However, consistently with our qPCR results of the diencephalon, OT peptide content levels in the brains of BFs were more clustered at low levels than those of WRMs.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this article, we sought to identify whether differences in the sequence and brain expression of OT in the wild WRMs and the domesticated BFs would reveal insights in the neuroendocrinological changes that underlie the domestication process of the latter. In this direction, we found sites that are variant in BFs (in positions 8, 62, 149, and 432), and not in the WRMs, all of which were shown to be conserved on a vertebrate-wide scale, hence likely functional. Of these, the BF T alleles in positions 8 and 432 were found to be rare in the avian lineage in particular, something that points further to their plausible functional role. Importantly, two of the BF variant sites (positions 62 and 432) are also located within specific TFBS, one of which (SP3) was also conserved in the zebra finch. Although the rest of these TFBS were not conserved in either the chicken or the zebra finch, which would traditionally be viewed as a weaker predictive evidence, an array of recent studies have shown that most TFBS are species-specific, and aligned binding events present in many species are in fact rare.51,52

Since it is known that heterozygous variants can have different impacts on genes and traits than their homozygous counterparts, we suggest that these variants could have a synergistic heterozygous impact on the evolution of domestication in BFs. However, we believe that it may not be a specific allele that is important for specific domesticated traits, but the combination of heterozygous alleles among the BF-population that may influence the interactions differently than a population that were purely homozygous. We also suggest that although this variation can be suspected to come from laboratory population bottlenecks, in fact, we used sequences by individuals coming from different colonies (RIKEN, Japan; and UCSF, USA). Thus, the variation we identified does not seem to be due to laboratory population bottlenecks.

The absence of nucleotide change convergence in BFs and other domesticated avian species should not come by surprise as domestication processes have been shown to not obligatorily work through selection on the same site, but also through selection on the same gene or biological process.53 Nonetheless, we do not exclude the possibility that convergent evolution on different nucleotides in the same gene can occur.

Our ISH findings largely agree with previously identified OT distributions in other avian species (including in chicken,59 zebra finch,36,54 Japanese quail,54,55 domestic mallard,54,55 and starling,54,55), in that most of the OT-containing cells we identified were in the PVN,
compared to the other nuclei we tested (SOe, LHy) and the rest of the brain. Vicario et al.26 found age-dependent downregulation of OT in the PVN of zebra finches, indicating that expression levels can change over time within a species. Lastly, it has been shown in chicken50 that some OT cells in the PVN could also express VT, but in the domestic duck and the Japanese quail,15 OT neurons are separate from VT neurons in the PVN. Future investigations in the WRMs and BFs will determine if there is or is not any overlap in the two sister genes.

The brain region-specific differences in the amount of OT mRNA in the cerebrum and diencephalon of the BFs compared to the WRMs is intriguing in that it implies that the role of OT in the evolutionary process of domestication might be more complex than thought. Although our findings of less OT synthesis in the domesticated BF diencephalon go in the opposite direction from those reported for brain OT synthesis in rats and mice (laboratory domestication),16 where they found more OT production for the domesticated strain, as well from other relevant findings in mammals (e.g., higher urinary OT levels in dogs vs. wolves19), in fact a closer look at the literature reveals that a similar brain-region specific pattern has been observed in the case of rat and mouse domestication.16 In particular, domesticated mice had a significantly higher density of OT-immunoreactive cells in the anterior hypothalamus (AHy) and medial preoptic area (MPOA), but a lower density in the lateral hypothalamus (LHy) and PVN (although not significant). Similarly, domesticated rats showed a significantly higher density of OT cells in the AHy and the MPOA; a higher density in the LHy and a lower in the PVN (both were not significant).

Putting these findings together, we suggest that both higher and lower OT can be associated with domestication in different species or lineages, and that the OT brain expression pattern can be region-specific. Although this region specificity was also found in the case of laboratory reared mice and rats,16 our study is the first, to our knowledge, to observe significantly lower OT in the diencephalon of a domesticated species (i.e., the BF). This finding can shed light on differences in the underlying neurobiology between different paths to domestication (rats and mice vs. BFs). Namely, both rats and mice underwent a process of human acclimation as companion animals during the 17th and 18th century, before they were used as laboratory animals,56,57 while the BFs did not undergo such an active process of human acclimation through the process of their domestication.

Concerning the mechanisms through which OT could be acting differently in the brain circuits of BFs and WRMs, one hypothesis is that OT from the hypothalamus would impinge differentially on neurons containing OTR in brain regions involved in social cognition and aggression regulation (e.g., striatum and lateral septum29). Such changes could account for the decreased aggression and fear seen in the BFs, compared to the WRMs.1,2 Another possible brain mechanism could be more indirect, through the action of OT to the HPA axis. OT has been shown to inhibit the general reactivity of the HPA axis,58 and to attenuate ACTH secretion and CORT levels.15 More mechanistically, OT has been found to inhibit synaptic glutamate transmission onto CRH neurons, suppressing CRH neuron excitability, and stress axis activity.59 This mechanism could explain the lower CORT levels seen in the BFs,3 along with the behavioral outcomes that this reduction implies.

A third possible mechanism, which also takes into account the reduced OT diencephalic expression in BFs, comes from studies in other avian species: in male violet-eared waxbills (Uraeginthus granatina), robust transcriptional activation of OT neurons in the PVN is observed following pursuits by a human hand.60 OT neurons in the PVN also promote a passive stress-coping behavior in male zebra finches.61 If the same mechanism applies in WRM, higher levels of OT in the PVN can explain why WRMs show a longer tonic immobility response following rapid inversion and restraint, compared to BFs.21 The tonic immobility response is an innate fear and defensive response associated with intensely dangerous situations.62 This response is characterized by a temporary state of profound inactivity and relative lack of responsiveness to external stimuli.63 The high level of OT may be needed to induce a longer tonic immobility reaction that reduces the threat of a potential predator and increases the chances of survival of WRMs during a predatory attack in the wild. BFs may no longer require high OT levels in the PVN to cope with predation because they have adapted to low predation pressures by domestication. Future experiments of OT manipulations in the PVN would help test this hypothesis.

In the cerebrum, the OT mRNA expression was significantly higher in the BFs than the WRMs. This difference might explain some of the behavioral observations in BFs and WRMs. In a behavioral testing, we showed that aggressive biting was higher in WRMs than in BFs.1 In another behavioral testing, we showed that neophobic tendency was higher in WRMs than in BFs.64 Oxytocinergic cells in the avian BSTM are also thought to play a very important role in several aspects of social behavior, including aggression and gregariousness.28,29,65 OT mRNA expression in the cerebrum includes the expression of OT mRNA in the medial portion of BNST (BSTM). The higher expression of OT mRNA in BFs might be related with lower aggression as it is known that in the lactating female rats, injection of OT in the BNST decreased aggressive behavior.66 Similarly, cerebral administration of OT reduced neophobia in virgin female rats and induce parental behavior to alien pups.57 These behavioral modifications observed in rats are in parallel with our finding of higher mRNA level in the cerebrum of BFs and lower aggression and lower neophobia in BFs.

In contrast to OT expression levels, we did not detect any significant differences in the expression of OTR mRNA in the cerebrum, diencephalon, midbrain, and cerebellum. This suggests that downregulation of OT in BFs does not affect its receptor expression. OTR distributions in the telencephalon including the septum correlates with species-typical group size (gregarious or territorial) in estrildid finch species.28 WRMs in Taiwan move and forage in flocks of around 4–20 birds.68 Group size of BFs is difficult to define, but in our laboratories at the University of Tokyo and RIKEN, birds are kept in cages of similar flock sizes, and they are socially gregarious. It is possible then that the similar OTR distributions in both strains correlate with similar group sizes, as in other finch species, although we cannot rule out the possibility that strain differences in OTR expression could be detected.
using other experimental designs (e.g., larger sample sizes, conditions of breeding and sample collection, or quantifying mRNA level in specific brain regions by ISH or captured by laser microdissection). Other brain regions could include the song learning nuclei. OTR expression has been identified in vocal learning nuclei, like the HVC and RA,
and based on different manipulations of the OT system, there is evidence that blocking the OT or the OTR can impact song learning (using the Manning Compound that blocks both OTR-VTRs) or singing. Since BFs sing a more variable song than the WRM, it is tempting to hypothesize that the changes we identified in the OT expression levels could partly influence the song nuclei, and thus differences between the BF and the WRM song.

We, lastly, hypothesize that the higher intra-strain variability in brain OT peptide content in WRM, compared to BF, could point to an evolutionary explanation according to which, out of the variable wild WRM-pool, those with low brain OT peptide content were selected for breeding, to eventually make up the domesticated BF. Although such variability could also be due to differential metabolic states, we believe that our experimental setup minimized the probability, since, before decapitation, all birds were kept in the dark, and did not eat, drink or sing in the hours before.

In conclusion, our study revealed specific variant sites across the OT 5'-UTR, exons, and 3'-UTR between the BFs and the WRM-pools, and predicted their functionality based on conservation and TFBS analyses. In addition, we found a significantly lower amount of OT mRNA in the diencephalon and a significantly higher amount of OT in the cerebrum of the BFs compared to WRM-pools. Our results suggest that domestication significantly alters the expression of OT in related brain areas of domesticated BFs and wild WRM-pools, an observation that could shed light to several of their behavioral differences. Whether these variants and brain region-specific OT expression profile cause increased social behavior, greater song diversity, and/or a diminished stress response in the BF requires further investigation.
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