

# A chromosome-level genome assembly for the Rock Ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta*)

Theodore E. Squires,<sup>1,2,‡</sup> Patrik Rödin-Mörch,<sup>1,2,‡</sup> Giulio Formenti,<sup>3</sup> Alan Tracey,<sup>4</sup> Linelle Abueg,<sup>3</sup> Nadolina Brajuka,<sup>3</sup> Erich Jarvis,<sup>3</sup> Eva C. Halapi,<sup>1</sup> Páll Melsted,<sup>5,6</sup> Jacob Höglund,<sup>2</sup> Kristinn Pétur Magnússon (D<sup>1,6,7,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Natural Resource Sciences, University of Akureyri, Borgir við Norðurslóð, Akureyri 600, Iceland

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Animal Ecology, Centre for Evolution and Genomics, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18D, Uppsala 75236, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Center for Genomics and Systems Biology, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Ave, New York, NY 10065, USA

<sup>4</sup>Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire CB10 1SA, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Iceland, Sæmundargata 2, Reykjavík 102, Iceland

<sup>6</sup>University of Iceland Biomedical Center, Medical Park, Vatnsmýrarvegur 16, Reykjavík 101, Iceland

<sup>7</sup>Icelandic Institute of Natural History, Borgir við Norðurslóð, Akureyri 600, Iceland

\*Corresponding author: Faculty of Natural Resource Sciences, University of Akureyri, Borgir við Norðurslóð, 600 Akureyri, Iceland. Email: kpm@unak.is <sup>‡</sup>These authors are co-first authors.

#### Abstract

The Rock Ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta*) is a cold-adapted, largely sedentary, game bird with a Holarctic distribution. The species represents an important example of an organism likely to be affected by ongoing climatic shifts across a disparate range. We provide here a high-quality reference genome and mitogenome for the Rock Ptarmigan assembled from PacBio HiFi and Hi-C sequencing of a female bird from Iceland. The total size of the genome is 1.03 Gb with a scaffold N50 of 71.23 Mb and a contig N50 of 17.91 Mb. The final scaffolds represent all 40 predicted chromosomes, and the mitochondria with a BUSCO score of 98.6%. Gene annotation resulted in 16,078 protein-coding genes out of a total 19,831 predicted (81.08% excluding pseudogenes). The genome included 21.07% repeat sequences, and the average length of genes, exons, and introns were 33605, 394, and 4265 bp, respectively. The availability of a new reference-quality genome will contribute to understanding the Rock Ptarmigan's unique evolutionary history, vulnerability to climate change, and demographic trajectories around the globe while serving as a benchmark for species in the family Phasianidae (order Galliformes).

Keywords: Rock Ptarmigan, Lagopus muta, reference genome, PacBio Hifi, evolutionary biology

#### Significance Statement

The Rock Ptarmigan is a widespread bird species of economic and nutritional importance to large portions of the northern hemisphere. Only a tiny fraction of the Rock Ptarmigan's genome was previously reported and studied. The effort undertaken to sequence and annotate the whole genome provides an ability to understand the species at a molecular level. This vertebrate genome allows for new critical assessment of the Rock Ptarmigan and related species at individual, population, and environmental scales.

# Introduction

The Rock Ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta*) is a grouse species with a wide distribution across the arctic and subarctic northern hemisphere. It has seasonally variable plumage ranging from almost entirely white in the winter to heavily mottled gray, rust, and brown in the breeding months (Fig. 1). Birds of the genus *Lagopus* are notable for having feathered legs and feet which likely serve to insulate them in cold habitats. The Rock Ptarmigan can be considered as

a ring species with variable genetic diversity across its circumpolar range (Sahlman et al. 2009; Kozma et al. 2019). Accordingly, Rock Ptarmigan are expected to be at long-term risk across much of their range due to ongoing climatic changes and limited suitable habitat (Costanzi and Steifetten 2019; Masanobu et al. 2019).

With the expected declines in cold specialist species as global temperatures rise (Chamberlain et al. 2012; Scheffers et al. 2016; Scridel et al. 2018; Höglund et al. 2021), nonmigratory birds are particularly valuable to science as they are likely to display many special adaptations necessary for life in the arctic or at high altitude. Some populations of Rock Ptarmigan are considered nearthreatened or endangered due to long-term population loss and expected habitat declines (Icelandic Institute of Natural History 2018; Kozma et al. 2018; Japanese Ministry of the Environment 2020). The risks associated with declining genetic quality and environmental changes are not well understood, but might be better assessed with genomic analysis (Bay et al. 2018; Formenti et al. 2022). For populations with robust historical demographics such as the Icelandic Rock Ptarmigan (Nielsen 1986, 1999, 2011; Nielsen and Pétursson 1995; Brynjarsdóttir et al. 2003; Nielsen et al. 2004), a locally sourced reference genome is valuable for assessing demographic history.

Received: March 6, 2023. Accepted: April 27, 2023

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 1. Species overview showing sexually dimorphic seasonal molt patterns of adult Rock Ptarmigan with white winter plumage and mottled breeding colors, alongside a range map showing the global distribution of Rock Ptarmigan above 30° north.

The species nearest relatives include other grouse in the subfamily Tetraoninae, although systematics in the order Galliformes remain poorly resolved. The mitochondrial genome of Rock Ptarmigan was previously made available along with the mitochondrial DNA of a sister species Willow Grouse (*Lagopus lagopus*; Sveinsdóttir and Magnússon 2017). The Willow Grouse and Rock Ptarmigan are believed to have diverged as recently as 2–5 million years ago (Persons et al. 2016) and are often studied together (Lucchini et al. 2001; Kozma et al. 2018). The white-tailed ptarmigan (*Lagopus leucura*) is the most closely related species with whole genome data available, having a common ancestor with other *Lagopus* taxa no older than 3 million years ago, although the genome assembly is not currently annotated (Clark et al. 2016; Kozma et al. 2019; GenBank: GCA\_019238085.1).

Here, we describe the first reference-quality genome assembly and annotations for Rock Ptarmigan. A combination of long-read and conformation capture sequencing technologies were used to assemble a 1.03 Gb haploid reference genome.

## Materials and methods Sample collection and PCR preparation

As basis for the reference genome assembly and annotation, fresh blood from a single female bird collected (shot) in Húsavík, northern Iceland, in 2018 was used (NCBI BioSample SAMN25144835), while additional, heart, muscle, brain, kidney, liver, ovaries, testes, and spleen from a second bird was collected for RNA-seq to aid in gene prediction (NCBI BioSample SAMN26436951, SAMN29421920, SAMN29421921, SAMN29 421922, SAMN29421923, SAMN29421924, SAMN29421925, and SAMN29421926 respectively). DNA extraction was performed in the laboratories of SciLifeLab (Uppsala, Sweden). RNA was isolated, at University of Akureyri, using Beckman Coulter RNAClean XP (FisherScientific, USA). Materials from the birds used for the genome assembly are stored at the Icelandic Institute of Natural History in Garðabær, Iceland (Accession no. RM13211).

#### Sequencing

Input QC of the DNA was performed using Dropsense, Qubit and Femto pulse to evaluate concentration, purity, and size. The sample library was prepared according to Pacbio's Procedure & Checklist—Preparing HiFi SMRTbell Libraries using the SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0. The sample was sheared on Megaruptor 3 with speed setting 30. An Ampure bead purification was performed after the shearing. The samples were size selected using SageElf, according to Pacbio's protocol. Fractions 1 was used for sequencing. Quality control of sheared DNA and SMRTbell libraries was performed on Fragment analyzer, using the Large Fragment standard sensitivity 492 kit. Primer annealing and polymerase binding was performed using the Sequel II binding kit 2.0. The sample was sequenced on the Sequel II instrument, using the Sequel II sequencing plate 2.0 and the Sequel II SMRT Cell 8M, movie time 30 h and pre-extension time 2 h. Whole genome sequencing was carried out at SciLifeLab in Uppsala, while Dovetail Genomics Hi-C Kits were processed on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at SciLifeLab in Stockholm. RNA-seq was carried out on Illumina HiSeq2500 system Paired-end 2 x 125 cycles at deCODE genetics, Reykjavík.

### Genome assembly

The genome was assembled following the Vertebrate Genome Project (VGP; Rhie et al. 2021) assembly pipeline. First, a kmer database was generated using Meryl (v. 1.3) from the PacBio HiFi reads for reference-free genome evaluation and downstream assembly QC. The kmer size was set to 21 after running the best\_k.sh script for the expected genome size (~1 Gb) in Merqury (v. 1.3; Rhie et al. 2020). PacBio HiFi reads were assembled using hifiasm (v. 0.15.1-r334; Cheng et al. 2021), followed by a round of purge\_ dups (v. 1.2.5; Guan et al. 2020) incorporating minimap2 (v. 2.17-r941). Each of the previous steps was followed by assembly evaluation. This included contig/scaffold statistics computed using the Python library assembly\_stats (v. 0.1.4), and BUSCO (v. 5.3.1), while completeness and quality value statistics of the assembly along with kmer spectrum plots were produced using Merqury (v. 1.3; Rhie et al. 2020). The assembly was scaffolded using the Hi-C reads. Briefly, reads were first aligned to the assembly using the VGP modified version of the Arima mapping pipeline that uses bwa mem (v. 0.7.17-r1188) and samtools (v. 1.19) for alignment and Picard (v. 2.10.3) for 5' end filtering and duplication removal. Scaffolding was performed using Salsa2 (v. 2.3) and evaluated using BUSCO and scaffold statistics.

The Hi-C reads were then mapped back to the scaffolded assembly using the same pipeline as in the previous step and the resulting bam file was converted to pretext format using PretextMap (v. 0.1.7).

The finalized assembly was screened for contamination and then manually curated (Howe *et al.* 2021). Curation was performed using gEVAL (Chow *et al.* 2016) and Hi-C contact maps visualized in HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.* 2018) and PretextView (v. 0.2.5; see Fig. 2), resulting in 97 missed or mis-join corrections to the scaffolds producing a resolved chromosome level genome with 38 autosomes and, the Z and W sex chromosomes. Construction of microchromosomes was investigated using the Mummer alignment tool (v 4.0.0: Marçais *et al.* 2018), although poor syntany was noted for comparison with Gallus gallus and some likely remain unresolved.

The mitochondrial genome was assembled separately from both raw reads and contigs using MitoHifi (v. 2.2; Uliano-Silva et al. 2021) with automatic alignment to the Japanese Rock Ptarmigan (L. *muta japonica*; Yonezawa and Nishibori 2020) via built-in features from the MitoFinder dependency (v. 1.4.1; Allio et al. 2020).

The completed genome assembly is publicly available in NCBI under accession number GCA\_023343835.1. The mitochondrial assembly is publicly available in NCBI under accession number OQ580988.

#### Genome annotation

The Rock Ptarmigan reference genome was annotated using the standard NCBI Eukaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline version 10.0. A detailed summary of the pipeline is available online at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation\_euk/process/. In contrast to previous iterations, this version of the pipeline used RFAM (v. 14.6; Kalvari et al. 2021) for discovery of small noncoding RNA's and STAR (Dobin et al. 2013) for alignment of RNA-seq reads from our supplementary tissues. The pipeline has stable use of several tools including BUSCO (v. 4.1.4; Manni et al. 2021) and Splign (Kapustin et al. 2008) among others.



Fig. 2. Hi-C contact map for the bLagMut1 genome showing long-range contacts generated using PretextView (v. 0.2.5).

For calculation of genomic masking, the Rock Ptarmigan genome was masked with WindowMasker (Morgulis *et al.* 2006). Annotation of the mitochondrial genome was achieved via manual comparison with the extant published Icelandic Rock Ptarmigan mitogenome in addition to automatic annotation using MITOS WebServer (Bernt *et al.* 2013).

## **Results**

## Sequencing and assembly results

The final assembly sequence is 1,026,771,810 base pairs long, with 71,937 gap bases (0.007%) across 210 spanned gaps (Fig. 3). The genome assembly includes 375 contigs arranged on 165 scaffolds. The scaffold N50 is 71,229,700 bp with an L50 of 5. The Contig N50 is 17,905,263 bp with an L50 of 19.

Average coverage across the genome is 57.75x. In total 38 autosomes were identified, with 18 unlocalized sequences among them. Additional W and Z allosomes were described with only a single unlocalized sequence found on the W. Assembly summary statistics appear significantly better than the current *G. gallus* reference genome (GRCg6a), and are modest in comparison to the most recently annotated *G. gallus* individual (bGalGal1. mat.broiler.GRCg7b; see Table 1). Kmer spectra plots overall showed the expected copy-kmer distributions (Fig. 4).

#### Genome annotation

In total 20,110 genes and pseudogenes were identified by combining gene prediction and similarity approaches, with approximately 80% identified as protein coding. The annotated genes showed a 98.6% completeness score against 98.9% for the whole genome when set against the BUSCO avian dataset (aves\_odb10 lineage) and indicating 0.9% of genes missing from the annotated assembly. The annotation and associate summary statistics are available in NCBI's RefSeq genome record for the reference (Pruitt et al. 2013). The contents of the report are summarized in Table 2.



**Fig. 3.** A snail plot indicating the completeness of the bLagMut1 genome assembly. Summary information about scaffold statistics, BUSCO, and the Guanine-Cytosine vs Adenine-Thymine composition of various regions are included.

**Table 1.** A series of "global" statistics published in the public release of the Rock Ptarmigan reference genome on NCBI indicating the completeness of the new reference genome in comparison to the gold standard Chicken reference genome and the most recently

 annotated Chicken reference genome.

	Lagopus muta Reference Genome (bLagMut1)	Gallus gallus Most Recent Annotation (bGalGal1.mat.broiler.GRCg7b)	Gallus gallus Reference Genome (GRCg6a)
Total length	1,026,771,810	1,053,332,251	1,065,348,650
Total ungapped length	1,026,699,873	1,049,948,333	1,055,564,190
Gaps between scaffolds	0	0	68
Number of scaffolds	165	214	524
Scaffold N50	71,229,700	90,861,225	20,785,086
Scaffold L50	5	4	12
Number of contigs	375	677	1,402
Contig N50	17,905,263	18,834,961	17,655,422
Contig L50	19	18	19
Chromosomes and plasmids	41	42	34
Component sequences	165	677	2,243





Fig. 4. Outputs from Merqury showing kmer distribution according to: a) Spectra-cn plot of the bLagMut1 complete assembly, b) spectra-asm plot of the bLagMut1 complete assembly, c) spectra-cn plot of the bLagMut1 primary assembly, and d) spectra-cn plot of the bLagMut1 alternate assembly.

 Table 2. Comparative table showing the relative accuracy and completeness of the Lagopus muta reference annotation (NCBI Lagopus muta

 Annotation Release 100) against the most recently complete annotation of the chicken genome (NCBI Gallus gallus Annotation Release 106).

	Lagopus muta Reference Genome Annotation (bLagMut1)	Gallus gallus Most Recent Annotation (bGalGal1.mat.broiler.GRCg7b)
Genes and pseudogenes	20,110	25,635
Protein-coding genes	16,078	18,023
Noncoding genes	3,738	7,330
mRNA	43,785	68,670
Long non coding RNAs	5,431	10,062
tRNĂ	306	303
Protein coding sequences	43,793	68,683
Introns (mean length)	206,142 (4,265)	241,290 (4,145)
Exons (mean length)	229,018 (394)	262,919 (490)
Mean gene size	33 kb	28 kb
Maximum gene size	1.6 Mb	1.3 Mb
BUSCO score	98.6%	98.7%

## Mitochondrial genome

The mitochondrial DNA was described with all 13 expected protein-coding regions and analyzed for accuracy through comparative analysis. With our addition, there are now four extant mitochondrial genomes published for the Rock Ptarmigan; two from Iceland, one from Japan, and one from Siberia (Sveinsdóttir and Magnússon 2017; Wang et al. 2017; Yonezawa and Nishibori 2020). Using the ClustalW package embedded in BioEdit (Thompson et al. 1994; Hall 1999), we found a total of 24 bases divergent from the previously published Icelandic Rock Ptarmigan mitogenome in a manual review. Of these divergences, 14 appeared in coding regions and 8 appeared unique to the previously published individual and our calls at those locations were conserved in the other Rock Ptarmigan populations. None of the polymorphisms observed between the populations appeared to be uniquely conserved in the Icelandic Population. Analysis of pairwise distances using phylogenetic tree software in Mega11 (Tamura et al. 2021) showed clear grouping of the Rock Ptarmigan separated from the Willow Ptarmigan, as previously reported (Sveinsdóttir and Magnússon 2017).

### **Discussion/Conclusion**

Our avian reference genome includes a highly complete set of information with 99.994% of the 1.03 Gb described matching to 40 haploid chromosomes and the mitochondria. Other recent works have aimed to unlock the potential provided by Rock Ptarmigan genetics (Kozma *et al.* 2018; Kozma *et al.* 2019; Sigmarsdóttir 2022). As observed for other recently published genomes (Formenti *et al.* 2022), the new Rock Ptarmigan genome is of comparatively excellent quality (see also Table 1).

Although Rock Ptarmigan has been globally identified as Least Concern by the IUCN in recent years, there have been regional fluctuations in its status and some nations identify the species as threatened due to long-term declines (Icelandic Institute of Natural History 2018; European Commission 2022; IUCN 2023). There is evidence that subpopulations of other grouse species may poses important local adaptations necessary for persistence (Oh *et al.* 2019), making it probable that the Rock Ptarmigan has unique evolutionary adaptations across its range. Further, it is well established that Arctic species such as Rock Ptarmigan may be disproportionately affected by climate change with an expected poleward contraction of species' ranges (Birdlife International 2015; Kozma *et al.* 2018). For more disparate populations such as those in the Japanese mountains of Honshu, the European Alps, and the Pyrenees, rising tree lines may entirely squeeze the Rock Ptarmigan out of its montane niches as has been suggested broadly for alpine habitats (Dimböck *et al.* 2011; Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working Group 2015), and some closely related species (Jackson *et al.* 2015). In the context of conservation, having a reference genome available will contribute to our understanding of the species' genetic risks and possible movements in the face of a warming planet (Bay *et al.* 2018; Kozma *et al.* 2018).

Many wildlife species are difficult to study at the genomic level due to limited specimen availability and constraints on procurement (Kemp 2015; Hope *et al.* 2018). Because the Rock Ptarmigan is a widespread game bird, it is particularly useful for both genomic studies and general investigations into wildlife ecology. Hunters have the potential to contribute robust data regarding the species trends and may continue to contribute both historical and new specimen materials for research (Cretois *et al.* 2020). Given the species' close cultural connection to some regions and history as a food source (McGovern *et al.* 2006), the Rock Ptarmigan may benefit from additional conservation efforts from an involved public or concerned hunters and may be a good candidate for flagship status (McGowan *et al.* 2020).

Future studies into Rock Ptarmigan genomics will benefit from decades of studies into these birds in captivity (Stokkan *et al.* 1988). Recently, Rock Ptarmigan hatched and raised in captivity have been used for gene expression studies to understand circadian rhythms and investigate the cecal microbiome representing valuable opportunities going forward (Salgado-Flores *et al.* 2019; Appenroth *et al.* 2020; Appenroth *et al.* 2021).

Among avian diversity, the birds in the family Galliformes represent less than 3% of all species but have an outsized impact on global economics with Chickens, Turkeys, Pheasants, Quails, and Grouse all being regularly consumed. Among the available avian genomes (Bravo *et al.* 2021) those in order Galliformes are represented with 26 species assemblies currently available on NCBI (approximately 5% of all extant; Sayers *et al.* 2022). Among these, 68 assemblies have been completed and the chicken has been assembled 30 times (for context see Burt 2005; Li *et al.* 2022). This highlights a commercial implication for Rock Ptarmigans as they have many special adaptations that could be of importance to domestic poultry.

Given the usefulness of wild relatives for research into domesticated species (Li et al. 2020; Jackson et al. 2015) the Rock Ptarmigan may prove to be a useful model for understanding other Galliformes. This relationship will surely have limitations in the genomic realm as more distantly related species are less informative at finer scales than those that are closely related (Scutari et al. 2016). However, if the Rock Ptarmigan's genes tailored to arctic landscapes can be used to better understand genetic architecture for cold weather survival, improved forage capabilities, or other ancestral traits, then important pathways may be identified for commercially exploited birds or other species of conservation interest.

Taking all of this into consideration, the availability of a Rock Ptarmigan reference genome makes the species exceptionally well positioned for investigation across a broad new range of scientific inquiry. With links to arctic/alpine biomes, conservation, hunting culture, and industry, the Rock Ptarmigan reference genome provides a unique opportunity to investigate a species at the intersection of many issues of global significance.

# Data availability

The final annotation has been publicly released and uploaded according to the high standards of the Earth BioGenome Project (Lewin *et al.* 2018). The genome assembly, including the raw shotgun sequencing data, has been uploaded to NCBI and is available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA\_023343835. 1; BioProject: PRJNA836583; BioSample: SAMN25144835. The mitochondrial assembly is publicly available in NCBI and is available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OQ580988.1.

# Acknowledgements

We wish to express our thanks to Ignas Bunikis at SciLifeLab, Uppsala, for assistance with the assembly and scaffolding work. We also wish to extend our appreciation to Ólafur Þ. Magnússon at deCODE genetics, Reykjavik, for arranging RNA-seq. We would like to acknowledge the support of the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI)/Uppsala Genome Center and UPPMAX for providing assistance in massive parallel sequencing and computational infrastructure. We are further grateful to an anonymous reviewer who improved the contents of this manuscript.

# Funding

The study was financed by the Ptarmigan Ecogenomics project funded by a grant from the Icelandic Centre for Research Fund within the Icelandic Centre for Research (Rannís grant no. 206529-051) under the purview of Kristinn P. Magnússon. Additional funding was provided by Jacob Höglund from the Swedish Research Council, Vetenskapsrådet (VR grant no. 2018-04635). Work performed at NGI/Uppsala Genome Center has been funded by both Vetenskapsrådet and Science for Life Laboratory, Sweden.

# **Conflicts of interest statement**

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

# Literature cited

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, Prosdocimi F, Nabholz B, Delsuc F. Mitofinder: efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics. Mol Ecol Resour. 2020;20(4):892–905. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13160.

- Appenroth D, Melum VJ, West AC, Dardente H, Hazlerigg DG, Wagner GC. Photoperiodic induction without light-mediated circadian entrainment in a high Arctic resident bird. J Exp Biol. 2020;223-(16):jeb220699. doi:10.1242/jeb.220699.
- Appenroth D, Wagner GC, Hazlerigg DG, West AC. Evidence for circadian based photoperiodic timekeeping in Svalbard ptarmigan, the northernmost resident bird. Curr Biol. 2021;31(12): 2720–2727.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2021.04.009.
- Bay RA, Harrigan RJ, Underwood VL, Gibbs HL, Smith TB, Ruegg K. Genomic signals of selection predict climate-driven population declines in a migratory bird. Science. 2018;359(6371):83–86. doi: 10.1126/science.aan4380.
- Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, Pütz J, Middendorf M, Stadler PF. MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2013;69(2):313–319. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.023.
- BirdLife International. Climate change is driving poleward shifts in the distributions of species. 2015 [accessed 2023 Apr 21]. http:// www.birdlife.org.
- Bravo GA, Schmitt CJ, Edwards SV. What have we learned from the first 500 avian genomes? Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 2021;52(1): 611–639. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-012121-085928.
- Brynjarsdóttir J, Lund SH, Magnússon KG, Nielsen ÓK. Analysis of timeseries for rock ptarmigan and gyrfalcon populations in north-east Iceland. RH-18-2003, Raunvísindastofnun Háskólans, Háskóli Íslands; 2003.
- Burt DW. Chicken genome: current status and future opportunities. Genome Res. 2005;15(12):1692–1698. doi:10.1101/gr.4141805.
- Chamberlain D, Arlettaz R, Caprio E, Maggini R, Pedrini P, Rolando A, Zbinden N. The altitudinal frontier in avian climate impact research. Ibis. 2012;154(1):205–209. doi:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2011. 01196.x.
- Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, Zhang H, Li H. Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. Nat Methods. 2021;18(2):170–175. doi:10.1038/s41592-020-01056-5.
- Chow W, Brugger K, Caccamo M, Sealy I, Torrance J, Howe K. gEVAL—a web-based browser for evaluating genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(16):2508–2510. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btw159.
- Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW. Genbank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D67–D72. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1276.
- Costanzi JM, Steifetten Ø. Island biogeography theory explains the genetic diversity of a fragmented rock ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta*) population. Ecol Evol. 2019;9(7):3837–3849. doi:10.1002/ece3. 5007.
- Cretois B, Linnell J, Grainger M, Nilsen E, Rød J. Hunters as citizen scientists: contributions to biodiversity monitoring in Europe. Global Ecol Conservat. 2020;23:e01077. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2020. e01077.
- Dirnböck T, Essl F, Rabitsch W. Disproportional risk for habitat loss of high-altitude endemic species under climate change. Glob Chang Biol. 2011;17(2):990–996. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010. 02266.x.
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15–21. doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts635.
- European Commission, Directorate-General for Environment. European Red list of birds 2021. European Commission. 2022. doi:10.2779/959320.

- Formenti G, Theissinger K, Fernandes C, Bista I, Bombarely A, Bleidorn C, Ciofi C, Crottini A, Godoy J, Höglund J, *et al.* The era of reference genomes in conservation genomics. Trends Ecol Evol (Amst). 2022;37(3):197–202. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.008.
- Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, Howe K, Wang Y, Durbin R. Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2020;36(9):2896–2898. doi:10. 1093/bioinformatics/btaa025.
- Hall TA. Bioedit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser. 1999;41:95–98.
- Hope A, Sandercock BK, Malaney JL. Collection of scientific specimens: benefits for biodiversity sciences and limited impacts on communities of small mammals. Biodiversity sciences and limited impacts on communities of small mammals. BioScience. 2018;68(1):35–42. doi:10.1093/biosci/bix141.
- Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, Pelan S, Pointon DL, Sims Y, Torrance J, Tracey A, Wood J. Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation. GigaScience. 2021;10(1):giaa153. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giaa153.
- Höglund J, Laurila A, Rödin-Mörch P. Population genomics and wildlife adaptation in the face of climate change. In: Hohenlohe PA, Rajora OP, editors. Population Genomics: Wildlife. New York (NY): Springer International Publishing; 2021. p. 333–355. doi:10. 1007/13836\_2020\_83.
- Icelandic Institute of Natural History. IINH Red List for Birds of Iceland. 2018 [accessed 2023 Apr 21]. https://www.ni.is/en/ resources/publications/red-lists/fuglar
- IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-2. 2023 [accessed 2023 Apr 21]. https://www.iucnredlist.org
- Jackson MM, Gergel SE, Martin K. Effects of climate change on habitat availability and configuration for an endemic coastal alpine bird. PLoS One. 2015; 10(11): e0142110. Erratum in: PLoS One. 2016; 11(1):e0146838. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146838.
- Japanese Ministry of the Environment. Ministry of the Environment Red List 2020; Appendix 3; 2020 [accessed 2023 Apr 21]. https:// www.env.go.jp/press/107905.html (in Japanese)
- Kalvari I, Nawrocki EP, Ontiveros-Palacios N, Argasinska J, Lamkiewicz K, Marz M, Griffiths-Jones S, Toffano-Nioche C, Gautheret D, Weinberg Z, et al. Rfam 14: expanded coverage of metagenomic, viral and microRNA families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D192–D200. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1047.
- Kapustin Y, Souvorov A, Tatusova T, Lipman D. Splign: algorithms for computing spliced alignments with identification of paralogs. Biol Direct. 2008;3(1):20. doi:10.1186/1745-6150-3-20.
- Kemp C. Museums: the endangered dead. Nature. 2015;518(7539): 292–294. doi:10.1038/518292a.
- Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, McCallum C, Dinkla K, Strobelt H, Luber JM, Ouellette SB, Azhir A, Kumar N, et al. Higlass: webbased visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. Genome Biol. 2018;19(1):125. doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1486-1.
- Kozma R, Lillie M, Benito BM, Svenning J-C, Höglund J. Past and potential future population dynamics of three grouse species using ecological and whole genome coalescent modeling. Ecol Evol. 2018;8(13):6671–6681. doi:10.1002/ece3.4163.
- Kozma R, Rödin-Mörch P, Höglund J. Genomic regions of speciation and adaptation among three species of grouse. Sci Rep. 2019; 9(1):812. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-36880-5.
- Lewin HA, Robinson GE, Kress WJ, Baker WJ, Coddington J, Crandall KA, Durbin R, Edwards SV, Forest F, Gilbert MTP, et al. Earth BioGenome project: sequencing life for the future of life. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(17):4325–4333. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1720115115.

- Li M, Sun C, Xu N, Bian P, Tian X, Wang X, Wang Y, Jia X, Heller R, Wang M, *et al.* De Novo assembly of 20 chicken genomes reveals the undetectable phenomenon for thousands of core genes on microchromosomes and subtelomeric regions. Mol Biol Evol. 2022;39(4):msac066. doi:10.1093/molbev/msac066.
- Li X, Yang J, Shen M, Xie XL, Liu GJ, Xu YX, Lv FH, Yang H, Yang YL, Liu CB, et al. Whole-genome resequencing of wild and domestic sheep identifies genes associated with morphological and agronomic traits. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):2815. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16485-1.
- Lucchini V, Höglund J, Klaus S, Swenson S, Randi E. Historical biogeography and a mitochondrial DNA phylogeny of grouse and ptarmigan. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2001;20(1):149–162. doi:10. 1006/mpev.2001.0943.
- Marçais G, Delcher AL, Phillippy AM, Coston R, Salzberg SL, Zimin A. MUMmer4: a fast and versatile genome alignment system. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018;14(1):e1005944. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005944.
- Masanobu H, Tsuyama I, Nakao K, Ozeki M, Higa M, Kominami Y, Hamada T, Matsui T, Yasuda M, Tanaka N. Modeling future wildlife habitat suitability: serious climate change impacts on the potential distribution of the Rock Ptarmigan *Lagopus muta japonica* in Japan's Northern Alps. BMC Ecol. 2019;19(1):23. doi:10.1186/ s12898-019-0238-8.
- McGovern TH, Perdikaris S, Einarsson Á, Sidell J. Coastal connections, local fishing, and sustainable egg harvesting: patterns of Viking age inland wild resource use in Mývatn district, Northern Iceland. Environ Archaeol. 2006;11(2):187–205. doi:10. 1179/174963106(123205.
- McGowan J, Beaumont LJ, Smith RJ, Chauvenet ALM, Harcourt R, Atkinson SC, Mittermeier JC, Esperon-Rodriguez M, Baumgartner JB, Beattie A, *et al.* Conservation prioritization can resolve the flagship species conundrum. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):994. doi:10. 1038/s41467-020-14554-z.
- Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, Simão FA, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO update: novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(10):4647–4654. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msab199.
- Morgulis A, Gertz EM, Schäffer AA, Agarwala R. Windowmasker: window-based masker for sequenced genomes. Bioinformatics. 2006;22(2):134–141. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti774.
- Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working Group. Elevationdependent warming in mountain regions of the world. Nat Clim Change. 2015;5(5):424–430. doi:10.1038/nclimate2563.
- Nielsen ÓK. Population ecology of the gyrfalcon in Iceland with comparative notes on the merlin and the raven [Ph.D. thesis]. [Ithaca (NY)]: Cornell University; 1986.
- Nielsen ÓK. Gyrfalcon predation on ptarmigan: numerical and functional responses. J Animal Ecol. 1999;68(5):1034–1050. doi:10. 1046/j.1365-2656.1999.00351.x.
- Nielsen ÓK. Gyrfalcon population and reproduction in relation to Rock Ptarmigan numbers in Iceland. In: Watson RT, Cade TJ, Fuller M, Hunt G, Potapov E, editors. Gyrfalcons and Ptarmigans in a Changing World. Boise: The Peregrine Fund; 2011. p. 21–48.
- Nielsen ÓK, Brynjarsdóttir J, Magnússon KG. Monitoring of the ptarmigan population in Iceland 1999–2003. Fjölrit Náttúrufrædistofnunar. 2004;47:1–110 (in Icelandic with English summary). http://utgafa.ni.is/fjolrit/Fjolrit\_47.pdf.
- Nielsen ÓK, Pétursson G. Population fluctuations of gyrfalcon and rock ptarmigan: analysis of export figures from Iceland. Wildlife Biol. 1995;1(2):65–71. doi:10.2981/wlb.1995.0011.
- Oh KP, Aldridge CL, Forbey JS, Dadabay CY, Oyler-McCance SJ. Conservation genomics in the sagebrush sea: population

divergence, demographic history, and local adaptation in sagegrouse (Centrocercus spp.). Genome Biol Evol. 2019;11(7): 2023–2034. doi:10.1093/gbe/evz112.

- Persons NW, Hosner PA, Meiklejohn KA, Braun EL, Kimball RT. Sorting out relationships among the grouse and ptarmigan using intron, mitochondrial, and ultra-conserved element sequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2016;98:123–132. doi:10.1016/j.ympev. 2016.02.003.
- Pruitt KD, Brown GR, Hiatt SM, Thibaud-Nissen F, Astashyn A, Ermolaeva O, Farrell CM, Hart J, Landrum MJ, McGarvey KM, et al. Refseq: an update on mammalian reference sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;42(D1):D756–D763. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1114.
- Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, Damas J, Formenti G, Koren S, Uliano-Silva M, Chow W, Fungtammasan A, Kim J, et al. Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature. 2021;592(7856):737–746. doi:10.1038/ s41586-021-03451-0.
- Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, Phillippy AM. Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biol. 2020;21(1):245. doi:10.1186/s13059-020-02134-9.
- Sahlman T, Segelbacher G, Höglund J. Islands in the ice: colonisation routes for rock ptarmigan to the Svalbard achipelago. Ecogeography. 2009;32(5):840–848. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009. 05774.x.
- Salgado-Flores A, Tveit AT, Wright A-D, Pope PB, Sundset MA. Characterization of the cecum microbiome from wild and captive rock ptarmigans indigenous to Arctic Norway. PLoS One. 2019; 14(3):e0213503. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0213503.
- Sayers EW, Bolton EE, Brister JR, Canese K, Chan J, Comeau DC, Connor R, Funk K, Kelly C, Kim S, et al. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D20–D26. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1112.
- Scheffers BR, Watson J, Bickford D, Mora C, Martin T, Foden W, Rondinini C, Pacifici M, Dudgeon D, Kovacs K, et al. The broad footprint of climate change from genes to biomes to people. Science. 2016;354(6313):aaf7671. doi:10.1126/science.aaf7671.
- Scridel D, Brambilla M, Martin K, Lehikoinen A, Iemma A, Matteo A, Jähnig S, Caprio E, Bogliani G, Pedrini P, et al. A review and meta-analysis of the effects of climate change on Holarctic

mountain and upland bird populations. Ibis. 2018;160(3): 489–515. doi:10.1111/ibi.12585.

- Scutari M, Mackay I, Balding D. Using genetic distance to infer the accuracy of genomic prediction. PLoS Genet. 2016;12(9):e1006288. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006288.
- Sigmarsdóttir ES. Development of a qPCR assay for molecular sexing of three Icelandic bird species [Bachelor's Thesis][Akureyri]: University of Akureyri; 2022.
- Stokkan KA, Sharp PJ, Dunn IC, Lea RW. Endocrine changes in photostimulated willow ptarmigan (Lagopus lagopus) and Svalbard ptarmigan (Lagopus mutus hyperboreus). Gen Comp Endocrinol. 1988;70(1):169–177. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(88)90107-4.
- Sveinsdóttir M, Magnússon KP. Complete mitochondrial genome and phylogenetic analysis of willow ptarmigan (Lagopus lagopus) and rock ptarmigan (Lagopus muta) (Galliformes: Phasianidae: Tetraoninae). Mitochondrial DNA B Resour. 2017;2(2):400–402. doi:10.1080/23802359.2017.1347834.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(7): 3022–3027. doi:10.1093/molbev/msab120.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994;22(22):4673–4680. doi:10. 1093/nar/22.22.4673.
- Uliano-Silva M, Ferreira Nunes JG, Krasheninnikova K, McCarthy SA. Marcelauliano/MitoHiFi: mitohifi\_v2.0 (v2.0). Zenodo. 2021. doi:10.5281/zenodo.5205678.
- Wang N, Hosner PA, Liang B, Braun EL, Kimball RT. Historical relationships of three enigmatic phasianid genera (Aves: Galliformes) inferred using phylogenomic and mitogenomic data. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2017;109:217–225. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2017.01.006.
- Yonezawa T, Nishibori M. The complete mitochondrial genome of the Japanese rock ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta japonica* Clark, 1907).
   Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resour. 2020;5(2):1648–1649. doi:10. 1080/23802359.2020.1746207.

Editor: R. Mallarino